



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					\sim \sim		
APPLICATION NO). F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/468,471		12/21/1999	VINCENT DIVINO, JR.	THOX:00211	3676		
27405	7590	05/05/2004	EXAMINER				
THEROX	•		BIANCO, PATRICIA				
	HELSON I			ARTIBUT	DADED MUMPED		
IRVINE,	CA 92612		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			·	3762	l'S		
			DATE MAILED: 05/05/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_				JAS				
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	0				
		09/468,471		DIVINO, JR. ET AL.					
Office Action Sur	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Patricia M B	ianco	3762					
The MAILING DATE of the	his communication ap	ppears on the c	over sheet with the	correspondence addre	9SS				
Period for Reply			EVOIDE AMANTI	VO) 55014					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS - Extensions of time may be available under after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the period for reply specified above is left in NO period for reply is specified above, in Failure to reply within the set or extended Any reply received by the Office later that earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (1)	er the provisions of 37 CFR 1 date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reighte maximum statutory period period for reply will, by staturn three months after the mailing.	.136(a). In no event ply within the statuto d will apply and will e tte, cause the applica	however, may a reply be try minimum of thirty (30) daxpire SIX (6) MONTHS frostion to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this comm IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1) Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on <u>15 /</u>	<u>March 2004</u> .							
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Thi	is action is nor	n-final.						
3) Since this application is i		•			erits is				
closed in accordance wit	th the practice under	Ex parte Quay	/le, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims									
4) Claim(s) 41-50 and 52-7	<u>'8</u> is/are pending in th	ne application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>56-67</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5) Claim(s) is/are all	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>41-50,52-55 an</u>	<u>d 68-78</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		•	•				
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	-								
8) Claim(s) are subject	ect to restriction and/	or election req	uirement.						
Application Papers									
9)⊠ The specification is object	cted to by the Examin	ner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request t		• • •	•	, ,					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the E	Examiner. Note	the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO	-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
Attachment(s)	•								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89		4) Interview Summa						
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date			Paper No(s)/Mail Notice of Informal Other: <u>Detailed Ad</u>	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)				

Application/Contro-Aumber: 09/468,471

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of the previous Office action is hereby withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.129(a). Applicant's after final amendment filed on 3/15/04 has been entered.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 41, 44-50, 55, 68-74 & 76 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 28/27/26/25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,565,807 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are a broader recitation of the invention than that of the issued patent, including all of the same limitations. The claims of the application claim a method for forming a gas-enriched fluid by providing a mixing chamber, delivering a first fluid to the chamber such that the fluid enters the chamber and flows vortically within said chamber, and delivering a second fluid having a liquid

Application/Contro-Number: 09/468,471

Art Unit: 3762

phase supersaturated with gas to the chamber to mix with the first fluid and form the gas-enriched fluid. The first fluid is then claimed to be blood, and the gas supersaturating the second liquid is claimed to be oxygen. The chamber is also further claimed to be pressurized. The patent claims recite an extracorporeal blood oxygenation method wherein the blood is mixed with an oxygensupersaturated solution. The patent claims further claim that the blood enters the chamber and flows in a vortically. The method is claimed to be a liquid-to-liquid assembly and, therefore, the oxygen-supersaturated solution delivered to the chamber is a fluid that has a liquid phase supersaturated with gas, in this case the gas is oxygen. Further, the patent claims that the chamber is pressurized to be at a pressure greater than about 500 p.s.i. Since a broad interpretation of the patent '807 claims includes the method of the application claims, if a patent was to grant on the pending claims of this application applicant would be granted an unlawful extension of protection beyond the years of the '807 patent. With respect to claim 46 requiring that the fluid be saline, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the patent claims to require saline, since it is well known that saline is widely used in medical procedures.

Claims 41-45, 4750 & 68 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,602,468 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are a broader recitation of the invention than that of the issued patent, including all of

Application/Control Number: 09/468,471

Art Unit: 3762

the same limitations. The claims of the application claim a method for forming a gas-enriched fluid by providing a mixing chamber, delivering a first fluid to the chamber such that the fluid enters the chamber and flows vortically within said chamber, and delivering a second fluid having a liquid phase supersaturated with gas to the chamber to mix with the first fluid and form the gas-enriched fluid. The first fluid is then claimed to be blood, and the gas supersaturating the second liquid is claimed to be oxygen. The patent claims further claim that the blood enters the chamber and flows in a vortically. The method is claimed to be a liquid-to-liquid assembly and, therefore, the oxygen-supersaturated solution delivered to the chamber is a fluid that has a liquid phase supersaturated with gas, in this case the gas is oxygen. Since a broad interpretation of the patent '807 claims includes the method of the application claims, if a patent was to grant on the pending claims of this application applicant would be granted an unlawful extension of protection beyond the years of the '468 patent. With respect to claim 46 requiring that the fluid be saline, it would have been obvious at the time of the invention to modify the patent claims to require saline, since it is well known that saline is widely used in medical procedures.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gordon et al. (6,365,190 B1) discloses a system for mixing two components comprising a mixing chamber, wherein the mixing chamber has feed tubes positioned to facilitate vortical flow within the chamber.

Art Unit: 3762

Myrick et la. (6,613,280 B2) discloses an extracorporeal system and method for enriching a fluid, such as blood, with a gas, comprising a mixing chamber, wherein the mixing chamber has a fluid inlet positioned to facilitate vortical flow of the fluid within the chamber.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patricia M Bianco whose telephone number is (703) 305-1482. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00-6:30, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 29th, 2004

Patricia M Bianco Primary Examiner